

**Oroville Facilities Relicensing
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Project No. 2100
Notes from Flip Charts**

The following list was recorded on flip charts during the September 23, 2003 Plenary Group meeting. The flip chart listing is not intended to be a transcript or analysis of the meeting or to indicate agreement or disagreement with the items listed; the intent is to provide a summary for informational purposes for interested parties who could not attend the meeting.

Concerns outstanding (PPTF):

- Confidentiality – public process. What happens if breached? Based on trust.
- Plenary role in SA ‘approval’.
- Media role in SA.
- Separate negotiations – off to the side of the larger group.
- SWRCB concerned with language – needs additional conversation and review (participants do not appear in the document to agree with SWRCB language). Hold out for clarification.
- Clarify ‘participant’ as active in the collaborative and ‘representative’ as the negotiator.

Outstanding local issues:

- Collaborative agreements overruled by DWR.
- ALP “not working as is” for local participants.
- Trails – no baseline done before change made. No NEPA/CEQA; needs analysis flawed.
- NOAA may pull out regarding cumulative but have some issues with other topics.

Further Plenary Discussion:

- Confidence, meaningful input, cumulative.
- Trails Issue.
- ORAC
- DWR suggest solutions?
- Off-line discussion:
 - ORAC
 - Butte County
 - Trails folks
 - DPR
 - DWR
- Submit solutions by October 15. Next agenda: update on RAs; WG presentation; cumulative update.